



DAC/IFW

Apr 29, 2005

Christina Tartera Donnell  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

In re Application of Gomes et al.  
Application No 10/807,032  
Filed: March 23, 2004  
Attorney Docket No. 066470-0011

Dear Petitions Attorney,

I am in receipt of your communication regarding my being named as a joint inventor in the above mentioned patent application. I also received communication about this from the counsel of record (McDermott, Will & Emery).

I already communicate to the counsel of record about this patent application being factually incorrect with regards to the people listed as inventors. Neither the people in this application, nor I, were the inventor of the subject matter mentioned in this application. To the best of my knowledge, this idea belongs to the Department of Navy with the Amsec LLC, Newport News, VA ([www.amsec.com](http://www.amsec.com)) employees as its primary inventors.

I am including the letter I sent to the Counsel of Record earlier. I want to reiterate that the list of people listed as inventors in the patent application is completely incorrect. I do not want to be a party to such an improper application.

Please contact me if you need any additional information or clarification.

Regards,


Sanjeev Mishra  
[smishra@socal.rr.com](mailto:smishra@socal.rr.com)  
2205 North Grandview Road  
Orange, CA 92867  
714-921-1407

Encl:

- a) Copy of your original communication
- b) copy of my letter to counsel of record



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Sanjeev Mishra  
2205 N. Grandview  
Orange, CA 92867

**COPY MAILED**

**APR 05 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Gomes et al. : LETTER  
Application No. 10/807,032 :  
Filed: March 23, 2004 :  
Attorney Docket No. 066470-0011 :

Dear Mr. Mishra:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code) and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

*Christina Tartera Donnell*

Christina Tartera Donnell  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

McDermott, Will & Emery  
2049 Century Park East, Suite 2400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

January 02, 2005

Michael Oleinik  
McDermott Will & Emery  
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3208

**Re: United States Patent App. No. 10/807,032, "Multi-Tier Document Management System"**

I have gone through a quick review of the filed patent details and find them to be factually incorrect.

As the primary implementor of this idea, I can say with authority that the list of inventors on the patent application is incorrect. This idea ("invention") emanated from the Amsec team (Dana Mcknight and others) which was working on behalf of the U.S Navy. Amsec provided the idea details to me through specifications and direct discussions. If Amsec indicates that some of these discussions impacted and helped shape up their invention, then I may be considered as a co-inventor on their patent application.

To the best of my knowledge, the other people listed as inventors were not involved when this idea originally was defined and implemented and as such they cannot be considered "inventors" or "co-inventors".

To the best of my knowledge, this idea belongs to the Navy with the Amsec team players as its primary inventors.

For more details on this idea and its origins, please contact the inventors (Amsec and its team) directly.

Regards,  
Sanjeev

Sanjeev Mishra  
Practical Software Solutions